# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

United States of America

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

٧.

	Edua	ardo Za	azueta Ramirez	Case Number:	17-247MJ		
			Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f are established: (Check one or both, a	,	submitted to the Court. I conclude		
	•		nvincing evidence the defendant is a this case.	a danger to the community and	require the detention of the defendant		
		•	ance of the evidence the defendant this case.	is a serious flight risk and requ	ire the detention of the defendant		
			PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT			
	(1)		.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defendant nave been a federal offense if a circu		al offense)(state or local offense that jurisdiction had existed) that is		
			a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
			an offense for which the maximum	sentence is life imprisonment of	or death.		
			an offense for which a maximum to	erm of imprisonment of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in		
			a felony that was committed after t described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)	he defendant had been convict )(A)-(C), or comparable state or	ed of two or more prior federal offenses r local offenses.		
				l in section 921), or any other d	sion or use of a firearm or destructive angerous weapon, or involves a failure		
	(2)	18 U.S pending	.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense des	scribed in finding 1 was commit ense.	ted while the defendant was on release		
	(3)	18 U.S convict	.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not ion)(release of the defendant from ir	more than five years has elaps mprisonment) for the offense de	ed since the (date of escribed in finding 1.		
	(4)	will rea	s Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a re sonably assure the safety of (an)oth utted this presumption.	ebuttable presumption that no cler person(s) and the communit	ondition or combination of conditions y. I further find that the defendant has		
			Alter	native Findings			
	(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense					
			for which a maximum term of impri	sonment of ten years or more is	s prescribed in1		
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), o	or 2332b.			
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, for wh prescribed.	nich a maximum term of imprisc	onment of 20 years or more is		
			an offense involving a minor victim	under section	²		
	(2)	The de condition	fendant has not rebutted the presunons will reasonably assure the appearance.	nption established by finding 1 tarance of the defendant as requ	that no condition or combination of uired and the safety of the community.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $<sup>{}^{2}\</sup>text{Insert as applicable } 18\,\text{U.S.C.}\,\$\$1201,1591,2241-42,2244(a)(1),2245,2251,2251A,2252(a)(1),2252(a)(2),2252(a)(3,2252(a)(4),2260,2421,2422,2423,\text{ or }2425.$ 

	Alternative Findings				
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.				
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).				
(4)					
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:				
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.				
×	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably				
	calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				
⊠ □					
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

## In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention. The defendant was on supervised release in CR-14-410, while charged with the instant offense. The defendant has ties to a foreign country. There is no evidence of defendant having community ties in the District of Arizona or elsewhere within the United States. The weight of the evidence against the defendant is great.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATED this 6<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2017

United States Magistrate Judge